


The Examiner concedes that obviousness can only be established in this case if there is a motivation to modify Castellano. Office Action at 5. However, the whole purpose of Castellano is to make a unitary all-in-one device. The Examiner can point to no motivation in the prior art to modify Castellano, but rather offers an alleged motivation based entirely upon hindsight, i.e., with the benefit of applicants' invention. That is improper, and for the foregoing reasons, the applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claim 31 be withdrawn.

For the foregoing reasons, withdrawal of the rejections of claims 1-31 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert B. Smith  
PTO Registration No. 28,538  
Attorney for applicant(s)  
(212) 735-302

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP  
4 Times Square  
New York, NY 10036